UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/803,849	03/17/2004	Brian Anderson	6781P006	6422
	7590 08/15/2008 OKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP		EXAMINER	
1279 OAKMEAD PARKWAY SUNNYVALE, CA 94085-4040			STIBLEY, MICHAEL R	
SUNNI VALE,	, CA 94063-4040		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			3688	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/15/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
N 41 CAL 1	10/803,849	ANDERSON ET AL.	
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit	
	MICHAEL R. STIBLEY	3688	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app			ldress
This application is abandoned in view of:			
Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of M period for reply (including a total extension of time of, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed to the proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed to the proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on	lailing or Transmission dated; month(s)) which expired on		•
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C	n consists only of: (1) a timely filed an Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); o	nendment which pla	aces the
(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitution final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See 6		mpt at a proper rep	ly, to the non-
(d) ⊠ No reply has been received.			
 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-89). (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was	5). received on (with a Certifica	ate of Mailing or Tr	ansmission dated
(b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance	of \$ is due.		
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ T		CFR 1.18(d), is \$	
(c) \square The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no	ot been received.		
 3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requal Allowability (PTO-37). (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on 	•		
after the expiration of the period for reply.	(with a Certificate of Mailing of Trail	sillission dated	
(b) \(\subseteq \text{No corrected drawings have been received.} \)			
 The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants. 	e attorney or agent of record, the assi	ignee of the entire i	nterest, or all of
 The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. 	attorney or agent (acting in a represe	entative capacity ur	nder 37 CFR
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claim		e the period for see	eking court review
7. ☑ The reason(s) below:			
See Continuation Sheet.			
/MICHAEL R. STIBLEY/ Examiner, Art Unit 3688 August 12, 2008	/Jean Janvier/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit	: 3688	
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdra	w the holding of abandonment under 37 (CFR 1.181, should be	promptly filed to

Application No.

Since there has been no recent activity on this case for over six months after the non-final office action on the merits was issued, the Examiner has now decided to abandon the Instant Application for failure to timely respond to the last office action under 37 CFR 1.134 and 1.135. Attempted to reach attorney of record to verify abandonment, attorney said file was forwarded to client and Law firm of record does not represent client.